

REDMOND, WA 98052-6399

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

			1)		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/694,514 10/23/2000		Timothy M. Moore	205724 9639		
22971 7	590 11/29/2006		EXAMINER		
	CORPORATION	ZAND, KAMBIZ			
ATTN: PATENT GROUP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT ONE MICROSOFT WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/694,514	MOORE ET AL.		
Examiner	- Art Unit		
Kambiz Zand	2132		

	LAMITIMO	Aironn				
	Kambiz Zand	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month- pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belonger	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	corresponding number of finally re	icated alaims				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• ••	ampliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(sNewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· ·	timely filed amondm	ont cancaling			
the non-allowable claim(s).	mowable il submitted ill a separate,	timely med amendin	ent cancenny			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,6 and 22</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7-9,16-19,21,23-26 and</u> 33-36.			•			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7-9,10-19,21,23-20 and 33-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an						
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		•			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		11			
3. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		BIZ ZAND Y EXAMINER			
		Kambiz Zand				
,		Examiner				

Art Unit: 2132

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the deletion of limitation "determining that the mobile computing unit..computing resources" from the claim 1 has broden the claim language raising new issues needs further consideration or/and possible search. also such deletion have altered the dependent claims depending on claim 1. same reasons also applies to claim 21 and its dependent claims. Applicant should be aware that examiner distingueshes between the limitation that was deleted from the claim and the added allowable subject matter into the independent claim before such deletion takes place.